Operators and Obviation
Howard Lasnik
University of Connecticut

(1) a John is too stubborn to talk to
   b John is too stubborn to talk to Mary

(2) John is too stubborn [Op₁ [PRO₁ to talk to ₁₁]]

(3) a John is too stubborn to tell Bill to talk to
   b John is too stubborn to tell Bill to talk to Mary

(4) John is too stubborn [Op₁ [PRO₁ to tell Mary₁ [PRO₁ to talk to ₁₁]]]

(5) John is too stubborn [Op₁ [PRO₁ to talk to ₁₁]]

(6) An R-expression is A-free (in the domain of the head of its maximal chain).

(7) Who left after you spoke to

(8) Who₁ [₁₁ left [after [Op₁ you spoke to ₁₁]]]

(9) Fred has himself to blame

(10) Fred has himself [Op₁ [PRO₁ to blame ₁₁]]

(11) Fred has Mary to blame

(12) Fred has his high-school physics teacher to blame

(13) Fred has himself to thank

(14) Fred has Mary to talk to

(15) For a chain C=(a₁,...,aₙ), and for an expression Z where Z is not a member of C and Z is in an A position, if Z is linked to a₁, then Z does not c-command any member aᵢ of C.

(16) X is dependent upon Y if:
   (i) Y is an antecedent of X, or
   (ii) for some Z, X is dependent on Z, and Z is dependent on Y.

(17) John is too stubborn [Op₁ [PRO₁ to talk to ₁₁]]

(18) John is too stubborn [Op₁ [PRO₁ to talk to ₁₁]]

(19) Who [₁ left after [Op₁ you spoke to ₁₁]]

(20) Fred has himself [Op₁ [PRO₁ to blame ₁₁]]

(21) John is easy for himself to talk to

(22) John is easy [for himself₁] [Op₁ [PRO₁ to talk to ₁₁]]

(23) John is easy [for himself₁] [Op₁ [PRO₁ to talk to ₁₁]]

(24) For a chain C=(a₁,...,aₙ), and for an expression Z where Z is not a member of C and Z is in an A position, if Z is linked to a₁, then Z does not c-command any member aᵢ of C.

(25) *Who [did you say [₁ ₁ left [after [Op₁ you spoke to ₁₁]]]]

(26) In (25), C is (Who, Op, ₁'), and ₁ = Z c-commands ₁' and is dependent upon Who. But ₁ apparently is not linked to Who.

(27) *Who [did you say [₁ left [after [Op₁ you spoke to ₁₁]]]]

(28) John is easy [Op₁ [for [himself₁ to talk to ₁₁]]]

(29) John is too boring for himself to talk to

(30) John is too boring for Mary to talk to

(31) a John is easy for Mary to talk to
   b John is easy to talk to, for Mary

(32) a John is eager for there to be an investigation
   b *John is eager to be an investigation, for there
(33a) John is too boring for Mary to talk to
(34) Mary believes John to be too boring to talk to
(35) John believes himself to be too boring to talk to
(36) John believes [himself, too boring] [Op, [PRO, to talk to]]
(37) John believes himself to be too boring to tell himself about
(38) It is important [PRO to wash oneself/*himself]
(39) John believes [himself, too boring] [Op, [PRO to talk to]]
(40) John believes [himself, too boring] [Op, [PRO to talk to]]
(41) John believes Mary to be too boring to talk to
(42) John believes Mary to be too boring to tell himself about
(43) John believes Mary is too boring to talk to
(44) John believes he is too boring to talk to
(45) *The man who I hired because Op said [t1] would work hard
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