Sacrificial tragicomedy plots. A society is devastated following some greedy or arrogant act, usually regarding food, which violates the sanctity of nature and offends some deity. Famine or exile results, ending with a sacrifice and the resulting restoration of communal well-being.

Tragedy is a derivation of comedy, as when Juliet dies before the romantic tragi-comic pattern can be completed.

The most important property of prototypical narratives is emotional interest. Specifically, prototypical literary narratives contain prototype-eliciting conditions for emotions. These eliciting conditions are scenarios that prime or activate memories of personal experiences and their associated feelings (for example, reunion with a loved one or sorrow at the death of a loved one). Emotional prototypes help guide readers’ decisions as to what sort of story is tellable and provide central structural principles for the story that partially guide its overall shape, outcome, tone, and so on.

For example, following are the three specific happiness goals that generate the three prototype story structures:

- for romantic tragicomedy, the goal of personal happiness resulting from romantic union. The corresponding sorrow prototype is the death of the beloved.
- for heroic tragicomedy, the goal of social happiness resulting from social and political power within the society. The corresponding sorrow prototype is the loss of social and political power through imprisonment or exile.
- for sacrificial tragicomedy, the goal of physical happiness resulting from material prosperity and abundance of food. The corresponding sorrow prototype is famine.

Further work on prototypes might include the possibility of other prototypical plot sequences in addition to those listed here; the possibility of other relevant contexts for happiness prototypes and the principles that might govern those contexts; and the extent to which emotional prototype theory can be extended to non-narrative literary genres, such as lyric poetry.

– Alan Palmer
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introduced two new representational systems for stress: trees and grids and computed stress contours using both. A consensus quickly emerged that this combination of devices was overly powerful, and Alan Prince (1983) argued for a grid-only theory, eschewing any representation of grouping. Halle and Jean-Roger Vergnaud (1987, 63–5) argued for the necessity of grouping from the consistency of stress shift under vowel deletion. For example, in Tiberian Hebrew, stress is trochaic, assigned to the penultimate syllable, and is visible there in pausal forms: [baːɣaːdʊ] “they cheated pausal.” In contextual forms, the penultimate vowel is lost but the stress stays within the foot, shifting onto the next vowel: [baːɣaː] “they wrote contextual.” A theory with grouping predicts stress shift within the foot; theories without grouping would have to invoke additional mechanisms to explain the direction of stress shift. As a consequence, representations using impoverished trees (Hammond 1984) or bracketed grids (Halle and Vergnaud 1987) became standard. These were later simplified to require only a single juncture to indicate metrical grouping (Halle and Idsardi 1995).

The regular rhythmic patterns are often interrupted by heavy syllables (those containing two moras, that is, syllables with branching nuclei, or rimes), which in many languages must receive stress (the weight-to-stress principle). For example, in Malayalam, stress falls on the second syllable if the first syllable is light and the second syllable is heavy; otherwise, it falls on the first syllable. The interaction between the weight-to-stress principle and the other aspects of stress has been a particularly lively area of debate. One view emphasizes theoretical symmetry, allowing metrical attributes to combine freely and so predicting full cross-classification for weight-to-stress effects and headedness. The other view emphasizes the incomplete distribution of attested languages (the iambic-trochaic law; Hayes 1995), in particular the cross-linguistic paucity of languages with right-headed feet that are insensitive to syllable weight. However, recent work on Osage (Altshuler 2009) convincingly completes the attested TYPOLOGY and demonstrates that the symmetric view is correct.

Metrical theory is the area of phonology that shows the most influence of the PRINCIPLES AND PARAMETERS approach to grammar (Chomsky 1981). All current metrical theories incorporate parameters or constraints for foot size, headedness, quantity sensitivity, the direction and iterativity of foot construction, and special edge of domain effects (e.g., extrametricality). The parameterization of the system yields a relatively small finite space of possible languages, which is advantageous for the acquisition of stress systems by language learners (Dresher and Kaye 1990; Archibald 1993). More recent work has emphasized the relation between parameterized stress theories and computability and learning results for finite-state automata (Heinz 2008; Idsardi 2009).

- William J. Idsardi
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**STRUCTURALISM**

The linguist who is considered to be the founder of European linguistic structuralism used the word system rather than structure. The linguistic theories of Ferdinand de Saussure are known mainly in incomplete form from his posthumously edited lecture notes (Saussure [1916] 1983) which contain the basic notions on which early structuralism would be built. Arguably it was Saussure who first fully demonstrated the significance of considering language to function as a system (i.e. a structure in which a change to any part will change the whole). According to Saussure, modern linguistics should concern itself with a synchronic (simultaneous) rather than a diachronic (historical) approach (SYNCHRONY AND DIACHRONY), and with langue (the language system) rather than parole (speech, PERFORMANCE): the linguistic sign, made up of signifiant (signifier) and signifié (signified), is a unit of form, not of substance. Criticisms of Saussure’s approach include the accusation that he has a reductionist view of language, that he leaves out the process and considers only the system, neglecting discourse and the social context, as well as being antihistorical (this last criticism is articulated particularly by Roman Jakobson). Many of these “omissions” are due to the conditions under which his *Course in General Linguistics* was published. Subsequently discovered manuscript writings go some way to meeting these criticisms (Saussure [2002] 2006).